For the Northern District of California

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE U	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NO	ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOUIS PATTON,	No. C06-04108 MJJ
Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING PROTECTIVE ORDER
v.	ORDER
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA,	
Defendant.	
On January 30, 2007, the par	ties submitted a Proposed Stipulated Protective Order. The
Court hereby orders the parties to su	bmit a revised protective order complying with the requiremen
set forth below.	
1) When defining what of	constitutes "Confidential Information," add a provision which
provides that the info	rmation sought to be protected is properly subject to protection
	FOR THE NO LOUIS PATTON, Plaintiff, v. COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, Defendant. On January 30, 2007, the par Court hereby orders the parties to su set forth below. 1) When defining what of

- under FRCP Rule 26(c) and that counsel shall not designate any discovery material "CONFIDENTIAL" without first making a good faith determination that protection is warranted.
- Provide detailed instructions for filing confidential materials under seal. In addition 2) to placing documents in a sealed envelope with instructions that the document is filed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order and that the envelope is not to be opened absent further order of the court, the envelope should be labeled to identify the title

of the case, the case number, and the title of the document.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Ι
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

3)	Add a provision which provides adequate means for challenging a confidentiality
	designation.

- 4) Add a provision placing the burden of proof on the party seeking protection to show that such protection is warranted.
- Attach a sample non-disclosure agreement, which should include an acknowledgment that the confidentiality order has been read, that the party has agreed to be bound by its terms, and that the party agrees to submit to the jurisdiction of this court if any dispute arises over their use of the information.
- Designate a time limit on the Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the order. (e.g., six months after final termination of the action).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 5, 2007

MAKTIN J. JENKINS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE